ATTACHMENT 6

PAST PERFORMANCE/PROJECT REFERENCES QUESTIONAIRE

RETURN RESPONSES TO:

NNSA SERVICE CENTER ATTN: AGUSTIN ARCHULETA OBS/SITE SUPPORT DIVISION P.O. BOX 5400 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87185 PHONE: 505-845-4686

PHONE: 505-845-4680 FAX: 505-845-2525

ALL RESPONSES MUST BE RECEIVED PRIOR TO CLOSING DATE OF SOLICITATION AND MAILED OR FAXED TO THE ADDRESS ABOVE

SECTION A: CONTRACTOR INFORMATION (to be completed by the Contractor requesting evaluation prior to mailing)

Α.	Contractor's name and address:
	Name of Company:
С. —	Project Description noting similarities with project specifications:
_ D.	Contract Number:
E.	Period of Performance and Contract Amount:
F.	Point of Contact and Telephone Number (with area code):
G.	Contact Type:
· H	Contractor being evaluated performed as the

I. Authorization is hereby granted to provide the information requested in Section B or of this questionnaire.
Signature
Name and Title of Authorizing Official
Date

SECTION B: RESPONDENT INFORMATION (to be completed by respondent)

ΕV	/ALUATED BY:				`	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Si	gnature	_				Date		
Ту	ped or Printed Name	_				Address		
Tit	lle	_				Phone Number		
NOTE: FOR THE IDENTITY OF INDIVIDUAL(S) PROVIDING REFERENCE INFORMATION SHALL NOT BE DISCLOSED								
Past Performance/Project Information: When responding to the following statements, choose the letter on the scale, which most accurately describes the Contractor's performance. The letter correspond to the following:								
(O) Outstanding (5): Outstanding performance record, with a high probability of success for general projects.								
(G) Good: (4) Good performance record, with generally acceptable quality and adherence to schedule.								
(S) Satisfactory: (3) Satisfactory performance record, with acceptable quality for projects of low to medium risk and difficulty.								
(M) Marginal: (2) Marginal performance record, needs a lot of coaching and assistance to perform low to medium projects. Response of "Unknows" quality fit here also,								
(U) Unsatisfactory: (1) Unsatisfactory performance record and this company would not be awarded another project in near future.								
EVALUATION QUESTIONS								
1.	Quality: Contractor provided effective q resulted in a quality-finished pro			3 rol ar	4 nd ins	5 pection procedures, which		
2.	Schedule:	1	2	3	4	5		

Contractor Scheduled the project realistically, worked according to schedule, and addressed problems and changes in a timely manner.										
PRICING: Contractor exercised reasonable pricing	1 g an	_	3 nge	-	•					
 SAFETY: Contractor had a proactive safety progremphasis on safety. 	1 am a	2 and p	_	-	•					
 SATISFACTION: Customer would have no reservations in contractor. 	1 in av	2 vardir	3 ng ar	-	5 er project to the					
Any Other Comments:					-949					
					<u></u>					
				,						